

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

R.K. Heli-Ski Panorama v Glassman et al,

Date: 20051123 Docket: 15732

Registry: Cranbrook

R.K. Heli-Ski Panorama inc.

Petitioner

And

Martyn Glassman, In his capacity as the Project Assessment Director for The Jumbo Glacier Resort Project, Joan Hesketh, in her capacity as the Executive Director, Environmental Assessment Office, The Minister of Sustainable Resource Management, The Minister of Small Business and Economic Development, The Minister of Water, Land and Air Protection and Glacier Resorts Ltd.

Respondents

Before: The Honourable Mr. Justice Melnick

Reasons for Judgment

Counsel for petitioner

R.V. Wickett and

P.J. Poston

Counsel for respondent, Glacier Resorts Ltd.

L.G. Schafer

Counsel for respondents, Glassman, Hesketh, The Minister of Sustainable Resource Management, The Minister of Small Business and Economic Development and The Minister of Water, Land and Air Protection

N. Poole

Date and Place of Hearing:

October 24 – 28, 2005 Cranbrook, B.C. [76] I find no evidence which leads me to the conclusion that the EAO was biased in any way in the manner in which it chose to accept the conclusions from the Sierra report with respect to R.K. nor in the manner in which it commissioned that report.

[77] In summary, I find that no reasonable person would have an apprehension of bias on the part of the EAO in the manner in which it conducted its assessment with respect to R.K.

VI. CONCLUSION

[78] R.K. has failed to demonstrate to me that it was denied natural justice either because of failure to provide it with an opportunity to be fully and properly heard in a meaningful way or because a reasonable person would apprehend bias on the part of the EAO, Sierra, or the Ministers. As noted above, while there may have been technical faults in the process leading to the Issuance of the EA Certificate by the Ministers, those irregularities were not substantial and do not merit the remedy sought by R.K. In the result, I dismiss the petition of R.K.

VII. COSTS

[79] Mr. Schafer, on behalf of Glacier, asked that special costs be awarded to all respondents. Glacier has no standing to ask for special costs to be awarded to the other respondents and they do not seek special costs. In any event, there are no grounds to award special costs to any of the respondents, including Glacier, in my view.

[80] The respondents are entitled to their costs on Scale 3.

2 d